This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the	tems encercu.
☐ BLACK BORDERS	
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES	
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING	
BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES	
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS	
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS	
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR	QUALITY
Потикр.	

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

filed for by hard 1960 colrs 1960

٠.

PATENT 454312-2012

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CURTIS MORRIS

Applicants

SVEN BERGSTROM ET AL.

Serial No.

08/375,993

Filed

January 20, 1995

For

BORRELIA ANTIGEN

Examiner

Sidberry

Group Art Unit :

1802

530 Fifth Avenue New York, New York 10036

DECLARATION OF DR. JUDY JARECKI-BLACK

Asst. Commissioner For Patents Washington, B.C. 20231

1

1

:

Sirı

Dr. Judy Jaracki-Black hereby declares and says that:

Examiner questions whether a vaccine composition containing OspA or a method of administering OspA as described in this application is enabled, i.e., that such a composition and method can be done as described in the application. I have read and understood a publicly available version of it, namely U.S. Patent No. 5,523,098. Studies of an OspA vaccine were performed under my direction, supervision and control in the ordinary course of business. In particular, attached is a report showing the results of challenge against Lyme disease caused by Borrelia burgdorferi five months after administration of an OspA vaccine

COMM. 2\2012(JE).DEC

PATENT 454312-2012

composition comprising an immunologically-effective amount of a recombinant Borrelia OspA which, I am advised and therefore believe, is in accordance with claims of this patent application, and, from my review, is in accordance with the teachings of this application. The vaccine was protective.

I have over 18 years experience in infectious Diseases research at the Medical University of South Carolina (MUSC), the University of Georgia (recipient of NIH fellowship in Molecular Parasitology), at the USDA, and at Rhone Merieux, Inc., mainly human; and in the couse of that research I have worked on Lyme disease for five years. In this experience, my expertise has included developing animal models reasonably predictive of results in humans. I attended medical school and graduate school at The Medical University of South Carolina (Charleston South Carolina) and was awarded a Ph.D. in Molecular and Cellular Biology and Pathobiology in 1988. In view of my education, training and experience, it is my expert opinion that the dog model of Lyme disease is reasonably predictive of results in humans; and, that the protection observed in dogs is reasonably predictive of protection in humans. That is, it is my expert opinion that the fact that the vaccine was protective in dogs is reasonably predictive that it is protective in humans; and, since I am advised and therefore believe that the vaccine and administration thereof was in accordance with claims of this patent application, it is my expert opinion that the claimed COMB. 2\2012(JB).65C -2-

PATENT 454312-2012

invention is protective in dogs and humans, and enabled by this application.

3. I also declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of this application or any patent issuing thereon.

Date: 2404 1996

Judy Jaracki-Black, Ph.D.

RESEARCH REPORT: LYME 84/058 DATE: 01 NOVEMBER 1994

CANINE LYME DIBEASE: DURATION OF IMMUNITY ELICITED WITH A CANINE OSP A

PURPOSE

To determine the duration of immunity (DOI) provided by a monovalent OspA vaccina againet cenine Lyme Disease.

PROCEDUÃE

Thirty-three (33) Resgles were divided into two groups. The first group (20 dogs) received two SC doses as a monovalent veccine (10 µg/dose OspA) at a 3 or 4 week veccination interval. The second group was untrested (13 dogs). All dogs were tick-challenged 5 to 6 months after the second viscination. Antibody levels were determined at regular intervals by ELISA. Veccina efficient was sessessed by spirochets releasition at one and two months postchellenge. Dogs were also minitored for clinical signs indicative of canina Lyme Disease (LD).

RESULTS:

Safety: No adverse local or generalized reactions were found following injection of vaccine. 1.0

2.0

W)
The state of the s
details to the state of the sta
y 20 19/0 - 0.50 Inches
20 18/20 = 35% 1/20 = 5% 2/20 = 10%
The state of the s

The monevalent Ly OspA Vaccine:

elicits protection against canine LD as satisfied by both spirochers reisolation and clinical signs.

this protective response is effective at least five fronths post vaccination, protects against approchate intection (80%) and alinical algae after a natural challenge.

DATA LOCATION:

Notebooks 75 and 86

INVESTIGATIONS:

A. Jarecki-Black Ph.D.

Scienter REVIEWE Technician

8. Fretwell

Technician

M. Maskowiew D.V.M. Vice-President, Biodevelopment

D. Hildebrand, J. Berg, D. Steindi, J. Gilbert, R. Laiding, F. Hypolite, M. Maukpylak HM, Lyon (F. Milward, R. Harding, A. Pinon), BOD/QA/AR (Route)

104 548 0808

07-17-85 04:25PM P008 #24

res**e**arch report: Lyme 84/058 DATE: 01 NOVEMBER 1884

CANINE LYME DISEASE: DURATION OF IMMUNITY ELICITED WITH A CANINE

RURFOSE:

- . To determine if immunity elicited with a monovalent CapA vaccine (designated (3) can protect dogs against tick-challenge five months after immunization.
- possin information concerning the safety of the monovelent Lyme vaccine.

2.0 INTRODUCTIONS

Frevious experiments have shown that an OspA monovalant vaccine (Ly), administered subcuteneously, provides protection against experimental Borrelie burgdorferi infection in dogs (RR LYME 84/031) in a short term efficacy trial. The purpose of the present study was to determine if vaccine-induced immunity is sufficient to protect dega against a tick-challenge five months after immunization.

MATERIALS AND METHODS; 3.0

3.1 Animala:

Thirty-three Beagle pupples (afther sex; nine to ten weeks of age; negative for Lyme and Leptasoirs vaccination) were obtained from Ridgian Farms (Mount Hereb, WI). The pupples were divided randomly into two groups and

Providence of the Association of	The second second
1 10	SC VIII TO THE PROPERTY OF
	SC Ly; 4 week interval
13	Untreated controls
Vandes Burn	

3.2 Vaceina Preparation:

The OspA monovalent veccine (designated Ly) was prepared by diluting a stock concentration of the OspA purified protein produced for RMI by Connaught Laboratories. The concentrate, lot #DOG814, was mendiagrand on D4 . Desember 1882 for use in human clinical trials. The concentration of the stock was 455 up OspAlmi. The Lyme vaccine was produced by diriting the stock concentration in startle diluent (obtained as a production lot, #02A50) to a concentration of 10 pg/ml of OapA and aliquoting the vaccine into alagle and multiple use viels (1 ml and 10 ml respectively; lot # 090893). The vaccine was tested satisfactorily for starility on 25 October 93).

795 548 0602

07-17-85 04:25PM

RESEARCH REPORT: LYME \$4/058 DATE: 01 NOVEMBER 1984

3.0 MATERIALS AND METHODE: (Continued ..)

Veocination Protocol:

Poge received two doses of vectine (1 mi/dose) administered subcutaneously at an interval of three (Group 1) or four weeks (Group 2). Signs of anaphylade, instituting difficulty in breathing, itching, and edema, were menitored for the linitial 15 minutes following injection. Additionally the animal technicians observed the dogs continuously for the first hour after veccination, and than at regular/intervals during the 14 days after each injection. Signs monitored included swelling, pain, tenderness, and scretching at the injection alte. Prior to administration of the second injection, the site of the primary veccination was palpated for swelling and tenderness.

CURTIS MORRIS

3.4 Sergiogy:

Blood was taken for iter determination before each vaccination and at monthly intervals thereafter. OspA titers were determined by ELISA, according to the standard procedure uses in our laboratory (# 15-012).

3.5 Challenge:

All dogs were challenged with \$. burgdorfer! using naturally infected ticks, according to the challenge procedure of Appel et el (1994). The interval between final veccination and challenge was 24 wasks for Group 1 and 21 weeks for Group 2. The determined on Westchisster courty, NY, an area endemic for Lyma Disease, and the challenge was conducted according to the procedure of Appel et al (1994). The Borrella burgdorfer! infection rate of these ticks, determined by Dr. Thomas Mather of the University of Rhode island, was 60%.

3.6 Skin Biopsy and Spirochete Reisolation:

All days were biopaled at one and two months postchallenge. The skin around the site of tick attachment was shaved, prepped with Betadine surgical acrub, ansathetized with 2% ildocaine injected intradermally, and punch-biopaled using a Saker Skin Funch. Skin samples were placed in tubes containing culture medium (BSK media with heat-inactivated rabbit serum and antibiotics) and "transported to the laboratory. Tubes were supplemented with additional madia and placed in a candle jer. The jer was incubated for six weeks. Tubes were examined weekly for the presence of spirochetes, using a dark field microscope. At least ten fields were examined using a 40X objective before the sample was considered negative.

. Democratic

TE-87%

His sign.

705 548 0558

OT-17-86 04:25PM PCG8 #24

RESEARCH REPORT: LYME 94/058 DATE: 01 NOVEMBER 1994

MATERIALS AND METHODS: (Continued ..)

Glinical Signs and Symptoms:

Clinical signs of canine Lyme disease (LD) were not expected following infection, due to the variable nature of the disease, therefore afficacy of the disease was assessed primarily by the relatiation of Barrelle burgdorfer? Spiretrates from skin biapey samples. However, all dags were monitored for applearance of signs indicative of LD. Attachment 1 shows a typical observation sheet filled out deliy by animal caretakers. Pein and tendemess, tamperature, lameness, staxis, depression, and encrexis are among the signs for whiteblakese dags were monitored. The attending veterinarian and the Principal investigator (PI) also observed these dags at regular intervals, and both were notified by animal caretakers when any adverse event concerning these dags was noted. Observation of these dags will continue until 23 November 1994.

4.0 <u>results:</u>

4.1 Vaccine Safety:

All veccinated dogs were monitored for adverse reactions (including anaphylaxis) for the first fifted minutes following veccination by the PI, and two weeks following each veceination by the animal caretakers. No adverse reactions were found at any time following injection of either the Lyme monovalent veccine. Additionally no swelling, pain, tanderness, or itching was found at the during the two week period following veccination.

- 4.2 Antibody Titers (See Table 1):
 - 4.2.1 Antibody to CapA was determined by ELISA. Blood was drawn on the 22 November 93 (prebleed), and at mapping intervals thereafter.
 - 4.2.2 Table 1 lists the ELISA values. At the time of the challenge, most of the dogs vaccinated with the monovalent vaccine (10 µg OspA) still exhibited significant OspA antibody there. One dog, FAS, failed to mount a significant antibody response to OspA.

4

120

150 Lyfe**chall** so (Use as

RESEARCH REPORT: LYME 94/058 DATE: 01 NOVEMBER 1384

BEBUILTE: (Continued ..)

Spirochete Reisolation (See Table 1):

4.3.1 Skin biopsies were performed for all dogs at one and two months postchallenge. Biopsies were cultured for abx weeks and examined for spirochete releasation. Spirochetes were relaciated from seven of twelve control dogs at the first biopsy (58%). One sample could not be read because it was lost after five weeks in culture, due to contamination.

All 13 samples (100%) from control dogs were positive for spirochetes you the second biopsy date:

CURTIS MORRIS

- 4.9.2 Restlits show that only two dogs vaccinated with the monovalent Lymp vaccine (HVT and DXT) were positive for apirochetes: a reisolation rate of 10%
- 4.4 Clinical Signs of Capine Lyme Disease (See Tables 1 and 2):

Five months postchallenge, five of the 13 unvaccinated controls (39%) have experienced episodes appriousable to LD; two dogs (HXT and JCT) have had multiple episodes. One of the twenty vaccinates (5.0%) also experienced an episode of lameness (see #92ussion).

B.O DISCUSSION:

- 5.1 Lyme Disease (LD), caused by the pathogenic spirochete Borrelle burgdorfed, is currently the most commonly reported tick-borns disease in humans in the United States. Additionally reported assine are increasing due to the heightened awareness of this cyndrams among veterinariens.
- Research has shown that one of the majer/outer surface proteins of *Bornalia burgdorferi*, designated OspA, is a potent infiltunegen and provides protection against spirochete infection in a variety of animals (Edelman, 1980; Filorig et al., 1992). The purified OspA protein, produced in emple amounts by recombinant technology, is the basis of two human vaccines effectly undergoing clinical trials (MMWR, 1994). A canine Ly vaccine, with 10 ug/ml of OspA/ml, has also been developed.

The

District to the

MEZY04 F. 10/14 10

RESEARCH REPORT: LYME 84/058 DATE: 01 NOVEMBER 1994

5.0 DIECUSSION: (Continued ..)

- Veccine efficacy was assessed by determining the ability of the vaccine to prevent both spirachete dissemination and clinical signs in short term and duration of immunity trials. LD in dogs often does not result in the appearance of clinical disease (Levy and Magnerelli, 1992), therefore in addition to the expering of clinical signs, veccine efficacy was based upon the ability of the expering of clinical signs, veccine efficacy was based upon the ability of the experimental preparations to prevent approchate proliferation, as assessed by the disolation of approchates from skin biopales. Spirochete relacition is the most important, and most consistent, parameter to consider when assessing the efficacyof a vaccine. If vaccination decreases or eliminates this dissemination, the animal-will not develop clinical signs. The Ly vaccine was shown to protect >90% of recipiants against approchate proliferation in the short term efficacy trial (See Research Report Lyms \$4/042; submitted to the USDA 19 AUG 94). In the duration of immunity (DOI) study, with dogs challenged 5 to 6 months after vaccination) 100% of the untreated controls were positive for spirochetes by the second biopay date. In contrast spirochetes were relaciated from only two of the vaccinates (10%).
- Dogs were also observed for clinical signs resulting from infection. These observations were reported by enimal technicians (see Materials and Methods), blinded as to the vaccination status of each dog. In the short term efficacy atudy 25% of the untrested controls demonstrated signs typical of caning Lyma disease (LD), mainly ismeness, while no vaccinate was observed with signs. In the DOI study six of the dogs have shown such signs; five are unvaccinated controls (39%) and one dog wed a vaccinate (5%). The first episode of interest was noted approximately/two months post-challenge; since then two of the untrested dogs (JHT and IAT) before exhibited recurring episodes.
- 5.5 One veccinate, HPS, was reported with swelling and slight lemeness in the front right foot approximately 2 months after challenge. The saimal was never positive for approximately saistion, although cultures from that dog were examined appointesly with the ismenses spisode in mind, it is possible that this episode was not the result of canine LD, but was attributable to other causes (traums, etc.). However, the dog was listed as positive in clinical signs in order to provide as stringent a test as possible for this experimental vaccine.
- Antibody ther results show that all but one of the degatifAS) vaccinated with the monovalent vaccine had seroconverted after vaccination, as determined by a difference in problect and prechaitenge titers of at least two dilutions. This represents a seroconversion rate 67 88%. "By time of challenge all but two vaccinates (FAS and HVT) still exhibited significant titers. North if the control dogs showed a sustained increase in OspA antibody levels, although three controls (HXT, JBT, and JiT) did have low levels of antibody reported from the prechallenge bised.

· ANGERARUM ACOUNT

2-17X

706 \$40 0606

07-17-85 04:25PM FOIL #24

PAGE 11

REBUARCH REPORT: LYME 84/058 DATE OF NOVEMBER 1984

DISCUSSION: (Continued ..)

The safety of the Ly monovalent vaccine is elso demonstrated by the results of this experiment. No adverse effects were noted at the time of vaccination, or in the two week period following each injection. The 10 µg OspA/doss was well tolerated by all of the pupples. Because this vaccine contains no adjuvant, eyen the mild and translant granulomatous response characteristic of veogination with most adjuvanted preparations was absent.

BO CONCLUMON

The monovelent yearine, containing 10 pg OspAldose:

is perfectly safe in 9 to 10 weeks old pupples:

is very antigenia and induces a seroconversion in 95% of recipients.
elicits an immune response which protects vaccinetes against spirochete infection (80%) and clinical signs five to six months after vaccination, when 100% of the controls demonstrate spirochata infection and 39% exhibit clinical

7.0 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

We gratefully acknowledge the technical expertise of Massai Jarecki, Mark King, Gordon Gilreath, John Bauman, and Frank Hypolite.

8.0% REFERENCES:

DATE: 01 NOVEMBER 1804

- Appel, M.J.G. Experimental Lyme Disease in dogs produces entirities and
- 8.20 .Edeimen, R. Perspective on the development of vaccines against Lyme disease.
- 8.8 Files. E. et al. Roles of Osp A, Osp B, and fiegellin in protective immunity to Lyme horrelicals in isboratory mice. Inf. immun. 60: 657-661, 1992.
- 8.4 Levy, 8.A. and L.A. . Relationship between development of antibodies to borreliceis. JAVMA 200: 344-347, 1882.
- 8.5 Lyme Disease United States, 1993. MMWR 43: 564-572. August 12. 1994.

THIS ENTIRE DOCUMENT IS CONFIDENTIAL PROPERTY OF RHONE MERIEUX, INC. RELEASE OF ANY INFORMATION CONTAINED BY THIS REPORT WOULD RESULT IN ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE TO A COMPETITOR. IT CORTAINS CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION INCLUDING METHODS, TECHNIQUES, PROCEDURES, DATA AND RESULTS SUBMITTED TO THE USDA TO SUPPORT A PRODUCT LICENSE APPLICATION.

d

THE THE CA

Uliment ...

706 849 040-

07-17-85 D4:25DW BOLD BO

Table 1. Results of Antibody There to Osp A, Spirodists Relaciation and Clinical Signs in the Duration of Immunity Trial (DOI) .

3	/ [**! * * * *	~ ~) ~ ~ ~) . .							· W			
	声(***	-						(m.1)		المحالمة المحا		
-	迎碧										The state of	三等是
	450	Nove	E\$	200	1600	1004	800		可到		The state of the s	
f	State III	THE WALL	到 ::: <u>:</u> ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::		S RESERVE	21,43.07.5		- 600 	800	-	•	
1			80	100	200	50	14 14 1					
H					33 E 35 M		50	60	50	+	+	
		200	700	100			建建设	多差性	-72(0.5			
				100	1000	****	400	200	700	•		
		846						ST.				
ě				100	80	80	84	-	50	•		-
									1			S STIPSOFF
6	Teleficient	A	(23	1634	25	25.	425	<25	<#	-	100	
Z					E SERVICE		THE COLUMN	一种	7.0		it section co.	1 - 16 (
		RCS	<	48	6400	800	400	400	400			Best in
				1 67	1000	100		HUS NA		ARE HERE	72 00 00 00 00	
		HVT	425	<25	800	50	50	2 22030		表面外独 机	TO LEGICAL	政治
		建设	Tite .		SEC. 10.		E STATE OF STATE OF	< 35	<25	+	+	
		106	<33	₹25	12900	1000			美麗			
屋						1000	400	400	1500	•	•	
體		841			1200		=3(4)		医放展			
			<35	<25	12000	1960	406	400	856	٠	•	
			三				57.0	提及完	E love		100	
2			425	<25	8400	1800	Vice	993	800	•		
									# 00° E		2175-29316	2
		1907	<25	<25	C	<25	25	50	100		+	
臣							200	72.7 12. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		1000	5 m	
		We	€29	<#	435	25	80	92	64	TO STATE OF	about the	2
							7 C 1				*****	
5 6		tre .	29	<4	<25	<25	423	<20°	在2000年			
, sc									435		+	
		-57	25	425							SERVICE	
			TUMBE:			C25	425	<85	700		+	+
		27	425					322				
	K.			426		<25 ,	425 .	100 ,	120 .,	+ +	.+ +	i.
		- KARES E		510			50.	F				
				<25	100	<8	C25	25	· <25	•	+	
		کا پائند					7.					
		JY2	425	<25	60	<80	259	25	80	. 1	۸*	+
رک ال	m were bie	oded az 1. :	1 and 2 mag				-	-				100

All dogs were bispeled at 1, 2 and 3 manths postshellenge (see Mazerials and Methoda). Stin samples was culture in BSK media (applymented with annihistas and 10% rabbit carum) for 6 weeks; tubes were examined westly using a stark field microscope, with at least ten fields examined before a seen as a supplier.

Thereple less at any contracting

White the consumer of material will be a series

Clinical Signs of Canine Lyme Disease in Five-Month Challenge

The second		
		10-19 And Laurente tel Spinit, opening
01	Castal	
160		16 See Latte Saft Roots All optodes translate sporters
70		
.81	Control	at him Later Provident resident op miles mindy
	₹ 7	ST AND
उद्य	****	
	Control >	24-05 8-0 . Larro (High Frank) resolved operations
717		
373	Central	15-18 Aug Limping Digits Francis remained opennessessing
190	XAY	15-16 Aug Limping (Digit From 1)

Bogs wrong variables and by vertice for at a first or fact week between the first ways at 8 to 8 mander to 1 days 1964s. Bags were

かな

THAT HOLY

diji Dijetakên me we e

-11%

TOS 548 0800

07-17-86 04:25PM POLS #24